EXHIBIT 13

Deborah Chen March 28, 2022

```
1
                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2.
                         SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 3
    LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO
                                         Case No.
                                   S
 4
    ENTERO, et al.,
                                   S
                                         5:21-cv-844-XR
          Plaintiffs,
                                   §
 5
                                   8
                                   S
    v.
                                   S
 6
    GREGORY W. ABBOTT, et
                                   S
 7
                                   S
    al.,
          Defendants.
                                   S
                                   S
 8
                                   8
 9
                                   S
    OCA-GREATER HOUSTON, et
                                   §
                                         Case No.
    al.,
10
                                   S
                                         1:21-cv-780-XR
          Plaintiffs,
                                   S
11
                                   S
                                   S
    v.
12
                                   S
    JOHN SCOTT, et al.,
                                   S
13
          Defendants.
                                   S
                                   S
14
                                   S
                                   S
15
    HOUSTON JUSTICE, et al.,
                                   §
                                         Case No.
          Plaintiffs,
                                         5:21-cv-848-XR
                                   S
16
                                   S
                                   S
    v.
17
                                   S
    GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT, et
                                   S
18
                                   S
    al.,
                                   S
          Defendants.
19
                                   S
                                   S
20
                                   S
    LULAC TEXAS, et al.,
                                   S
                                         Case No.
21
         Plaintiffs,
                                   S
                                         1:21-cv-0786-XR
                                   S
22
                                   S
    v.
                                   S
23
    JOHN SCOTT, et al.,
                                   S
          Defendants.
                                   8
24
                                   S
                                   S
25
                                   S
    MI FAMILIA VOTA, et al.,
                                  S
                                         Case No.
```

```
1
         Plaintiffs,
                                      5:21-cv-0920-XR
                                 Ş
                                 §
 2
    v.
                                 §
                                 §
 3
    GREG ABBOTT, et al.,
                                 §
         Defendants.
                                 §
 4
                                 S
                                 §
 5
                                 §
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                 §
                                      Case No.
         Plaintiff,
                                 8
                                      5:21-cv-1085-XR
 6
                                 §
 7
                                 §
    v.
                                 §
 8
    THE STATE OF TEXAS, et
                                 §
                                 S
    al.,
 9
         Defendants.
10
11
                 ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
                           DEBORAH CHEN
12
                          MARCH 28, 2022
13
14
15
         ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DEBORAH CHEN,
16
    produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendants
17
    and duly sworn, was taken in the above styled and
18
    numbered cause on Monday, March 28, 2022, from
    10:31 a.m. to 7:24 p.m., before DONNA QUALLS, Notary
19
20
    Public in and for the State of Texas, reported by
21
    computerized stenotype machine, at the offices of the
22
    Houston Chinese Community Center, 9800 Town Park Drive,
23
    Houston, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
24
    Procedure, and any provisions stated on the record or
25
    attached hereto.
```

```
1
                   It's all the way through -19.
 2
         Q.
             Yeah, this one's unfortunately a long section.
    But...
 3
             Just making sure.
 4
         Α.
                    I quess -- let's start, I quess, with
 5
         Ο.
             Yeah.
    Section 20 -- 276.015.
 6
 7
         Α.
             Okay.
             Since 276.016 seems to be directed towards a
 8
         Q.
 9
    public official, and that is not what OCA-Greater
10
    Houston is.
             Yeah.
11
         Α.
             So, yeah, let's focus on that first part.
12
         Ο.
13
    question.
14
         Α.
             Okay.
             276.015.
15
         O.
16
                  And if you can just let me know when you're
17
    done.
18
         Α.
             Yes.
19
         0.
             All right.
                          So this section is in regards to
20
    vote harvesting. And talks about a benefit being (As)
21
            "Anything reasonably regarded as a gain or
2.2
    advantage, including a promise or offer of employment,
    or political favor, or an official act of discretion,
23
24
    whether to a person or another party whose welfare of an
25
    interest to the person."
```

1 It also says "A person commits an offense 2. if the person, directly or through a third party, knowingly provides or " -- "or offers to provide vote 3 harvesting services in exchange for compensation or 4 other benefits." 5 6 Is that an accurate summation so far of the 7 section? Α. 8 Yes. 9 And then it says "A person commits an offense 10 if a person, directly or through a third party, 11 knowingly provides or offers to provide compensation or 12 other benefit to another person in exchange for vote 13 harvesting services." 14 Is that a accurate summation of that 15 provision? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And then it says an -- "An offense under Okay. 18 this section is a felony of the third degree. 19 conduct that constitutes an offense under this section 2.0 also constitutes an offense under any other law, the 21 actor may be prosecuted under this section, the other 2.2 law, or both." 23 Is that an accurate summation of the 24 remainder portion of the subsection? 25 Α. Yes.

```
1
             Does this subsection or section directly
 2
    regulate S- -- OCA-Greater Houston?
 3
                  MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for a legal
 4
    conclusion.
 5
                  You can answer.
 6
             It directly impacts the work that we do.
 7
                                     Can you give me a short
         0.
             (BY MS. HUNKER)
                              Okay.
    summation of how?
 8
 9
             It makes it potentially more difficult for us
10
    to do our education and assistance work.
                                               It makes our
11
    activities that we can do potentially have to be
    curtailed, example being our candidate forum.
12
13
    required us to be much more careful about how we're
14
    doing canvassing or, frankly, doing any, you know,
15
    assistance type of events.
16
                  We haven't done -- we -- we basically just
17
    decided we're not even going to attempt to do community
18
    information sessions where people could come and, you
19
    know, potentially get assistance. Normally, we might
20
    have, you know, contacted the Harris County elections
21
    office to come and do a demonstration of the machines,
22
    especially with the new machines. That would have been
    a prime opportunity, frankly, to get people to come out.
23
24
    But it also meant that people might come with questions
    with their mail-in ballots. And so we just said, okay,
25
```

```
1
    we're -- we're not going to run that risk at all.
 2
         Q. So what is the basis for your belief that
 3
    you're exchanging a compensation or benefit to another
 4
    person in exchange --
 5
                                 Objection; calls --
                  MR. DOLLING:
 6
             (BY MS. HUNKER) -- for these --
         0.
 7
                  MR. DOLLING:
                                 I'm sorry.
             (BY MS. HUNKER) -- activities?
 8
         0.
 9
                  MR. DOLLING:
                                 Objection.
10
         Α.
             I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
11
         0.
             (BY MS. HUNKER)
                               Yes.
12
                  What is your basis for deciding that you
13
    are offering a benefit or compensation to another person
14
    in exchange for the activities that you were just
15
    describing?
16
                                 Objection; calls for a legal
                  MR. DOLLING:
17
    conclusion.
18
                  You can answer.
19
                  THE WITNESS:
                                Okay.
2.0
         Α.
             Just common sense that, you know, everything
    has some kind of economic value. So, you know, if we're
21
2.2
    providing, you know, refreshments or snacks or meals,
            Sometimes for community events, we have catered
23
    right?
24
    in, like, you know, box lunches or, you know, meal boxes
25
    or trays of food.
                       That all has a -- some kind of
```

1 economic benefit -- or rather it has an economic value. 2 So I am just not sure if that would qualify because an economic benefit to me means there's some kind of value 3 or we are receiving something of some kind of value. 4 (BY MS. HUNKER) And again, your understanding 5 of this is based on your reading of the text as opposed 6 to any type of investigation that you conducted, 8 correct? 9 Α. Correct. 10 And so you did not consult with the DA of Harris County, correct? 11 12 Α. Correct. Or the election administrator of Harris County, 13 Ο. 14 correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Or the secretary of state's office, correct? Q. 17 Correct. Α. 18 Or any other state agency? 0. 19 Α. Yeah. I did not reach out to -- to speak with 20 anybody. 21 And what is the specific harm that your members 22 suffer as a result of this provision? 23 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for a legal conclusion. 24 25 You can answer.

1 Α. The most immediate harm for our members and, 2 you know, any community member is the lack of ability for them to actually get assistance, right, to have a --3 a place that -- or -- or a group in the community that 4 is known for being able to provide information and not 5 having that opportunity to come and -- and get 6 information, you know, and to meet with people who can speak their own language or their own dialect. 8 9 (BY MS. HUNKER) So in this case, are you 10 describing the harm as being associational as opposed to being a limitation on voting? 11 12 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls --13 I'm sorry. I don't understand that. Α. 14 What -- what does that mean, "associational"? 15 16 (BY MS. HUNKER) In having to do with how you Ο. 17 interact with people, how you express yourself as 18 opposed to, let's say, voting. 19 MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for legal 20 conclusion. 21 You can answer. 22 I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question? Α. 23 (BY MS. HUNKER) Yes. I'm trying to understand Ο. 24 how you would characterize your harm based on the 25 summary you gave me.

```
1
                  And I'm trying to figure out is it
 2
    something to do with associational, like your ability to
    associate with people, members, if they have to
 3
    associate with each other; or are you concerned that it
 4
    restricts their ability to vote?
 5
 6
                  MR. DOLLING: Objection; calls for legal
 7
    conclusion.
 8
                  You can answer.
 9
             My concern is, I quess, multiple.
10
                  One is our ability to -- to do our -- our
11
    job, to accomplish our mission to educate and assist
12
    people, to encourage them to actually go and vote.
13
                  My other concern is for members and
14
    community members who may need assistance and who are
15
    not able to -- to get that assistance because we're
16
    constrained by this law.
17
                  And then, third, you know, I'm concerned
18
    about potential and -- and loss of volunteers.
19
    very difficult to get people to come out and volunteer
    to do civic engagement type of work. It's not sexy.
2.0
21
    It's not fun.
                   Really, it's not fun for young people.
22
    So you have the loss of those opportunities to engage
    with people for them to actually come and -- and -- and
23
24
    do something and -- and do some kind of community
    service.
25
```

1 Then they'll find something else 2 potentially to fill that time which means a loss to us of somebody who could have been developed into --3 4 essentially into our pipeline of volunteerism around 5 civic engagement work whereas, because they don't have 6 the opportunity to do this with us, you know, they'll go 7 and do their community service hours somewhere else. And then, potentially, you know, we lose that 8 9 opportunity to have that relationship. 10 0. (BY MS. HUNKER) And you even mentioned earlier 11 in the deposition that you chose not to recruit 12 volunteers, correct? 13 Yes. Α. 14 And so you don't have any experience of 1.5 individuals choosing not to volunteer so much as you 16 declined to solicit volunteers; is that accurate? 17 Correct. Α. 18 Ο. And as with the other provisions, you did not 19 segregate the harm, determining how much harm was the 20 result of one provision as opposed to the other, 21 correct? 2.2 Α. Correct. 23 And sitting here before me, you don't know of a 24 single voter and/or member who is unable to vote as a result of Section 7.04; is that correct? 25

1 weren't filled out properly or they were missing 2 information. And that missing information was the 3 information that was required under SB 1, to your 4 5 knowledge? 6 Α. Correct. 7 Objection; speculation. MS. HUNKER: (BY MR. DOLLING) Okay. I'd like to just go 8 Ο. 9 back to a couple of things we were talking about earlier 10 in the deposition just to clarify. So, earlier, you were talking to counsel 11 12 for the State about how you would -- currently are 13 directing people to Harris County's elections website or other government materials rather than handing out the 14 15 information yourselves in physical form like flyers. 16 Do you remember that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And why did you make -- why are you making that 19 distinction between flyers versus sending people to the 2.0 website? 21 Because it removes us from being in an 2.2 in-person situation where we're physically there, 23 distributing information or giving information or 24 opening the opportunity for questions that would put us, our staff, or volunteers at risk of somehow -- some kind 25

1 of criminal violation versus if we just say go -- go look at the -- go online. Here is the website. Go look 2 And one would hope that, if there are new 3 at it. policies or new changes, that, you know, the government 4 5 website will update that and have the most, you know, current information available. 6 7 We -- we basically don't want to put ourselves and our volunteers in a position where 8 9 somebody has, you know, criminal liability, potentially. 10 Okay. Sort of in that same vein, earlier, you 11 were talking with counsel for the State about sort of where your fear of prosecution under the various 12 13 provisions of SB 1 came from. 14 Do you remember that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 When we were going through each provision one Q. 17 by one. 18 Α. Yes. 19 0. And the question that was often asked was did 20 your understanding of that come from a plain reading of 21 the statute, or had you done a full investigation? 22 Do you remember that? 23 I remember that -- that line of questioning. Α. 24 It -- it's a combination of just the plain 25 reading of the statute and just the general environment